U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

### **Project Information**

**Project Name:** Alborada-Apartments

**HEROS Number:** 900000010052677

**Responsible Entity (RE):** TUCSON, PO Box 27210 Tucson AZ, 85726

**RE Preparer:** Glenn Fournie

State / Local Identifier:

**Certifying Officer:** Liz Morales

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicabl

e):

**Point of Contact:** 

**Project Location:** 250 E Grant Rd, Tucson, AZ 85705

### Additional Location Information:

2620 N. Balboa Avenue, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705; 2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705; 250 W. Grant Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705; Sunnyside Pointe (845 E. Equality Lane Tucson Pima County AZ 85706 used for mapping purposes).

**Direct Comments to:** Glenn Fournie, Glenn.Fournie@tucsonaz.gov

City of Tucson Housing and Community Development

Department

310 N. Commerce Park Loop Tucson AZ 85745 .

# Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Alborada Apartments project includes demolition of 3 vacant and abandoned structures, excavation, trenching, and grading for the new construction of 127 affordable housing units to be constructed on four scattered sites in the City of Tucson, Pima County Arizona: 250 E. Grant Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - new construction of 63 units on vacant improved land; 2620 N. Balboa Street, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - demolition of 3 existing structures and new construction of 30 one-bedroom units; 2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - new construction of 14 one-bedroom units on vacant improved land; Sunnyside Point- new construction of 20 3-bedroom free standing units on vacant improved lots in an existing subdivision. The project will include the availability of existing community space for the Oracle and Sunnyside Point sites. The project will also be a smoke-free facility. Onsite parking spaces and bicycle parking will be available at all project sites. The project will also comply with fair housing and accessibility requirements. Conditional award of 31 Project Based Vouchers from the City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department.

### Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The City's central long-term community development goal is to focus resources on community needs, particularly the comprehensive revitalization of distressed areas and areas with high levels of poverty. This project supports the City of Tucson Consolidated Plan policies to develop supportive housing including: 1) New construction and/or rehabilitation of rental units for target populations; 2) Complexes serving special target populations; 3) The preservation of existing lower income housing and/or increasing the supply of lower income housing; and 4) Self-sufficiency in lower income households. This project also supports the strategy for helping people make the transition to permanent housing and independent living; and increasing the capacity of non-profit organizations. The units will target households earning between 40% to 60% of the Area Median Income and the three bedroom units will be reserved for households with children. The Grant, Balboa and Oracle sites are located in the City of Tucson Choice Neighborhood Grant area and the Oracle Revitalization Area.

### Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The 2620 N. Balboa includes three deteriorated and fire damaged buildings of concrete slab-on-grade and masonry construction: two single-family residences and a duplex. A chain-linked fence surrounds the Property and separates each yard. The site has been vandalized with evidence of use by homeless individuals. The site is in the rapidly growing Stone/Oracle core gateway area and the Oracle Revitalization Area. The 2940 N. Oracle property is currently vacant land that has been previously developed, with the new Miracle Point Apartment complex sharing the same construction site and the same Developer. The site is in the rapidly growing Stone/Oracle core gateway area and the

Oracle Revitalization Area. The 250 W. Grant property is currently vacant land that has been previously developed, with new infrastructure improvements from the recent Grant Road widening project and the new E. Grant Road/S. 6th Avenue intersection improvements, in an area of increasing development of both housing and businesses. Sunnyside Pointe property is in an existing urban development, on the last 20 building sites in the development. The sites are fully ready for construction, with utilities, roads and other improvements in place.

### Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

2620 N Balboa Ave - Google Maps.pdf

2490 N Oracle Rd - Google Maps.pdf

845 E Equality Ln - Google Maps.pdf

250 W Grant Rd - Google Maps.pdf

Alborado Sunnyside Pointe Site Visist 8-1-19.pdf

2940 N Oracle site visit 2-17-19.pdf

2620 N Balboa site visit 2-7-19.pdf

250 E Grant site visit 2-8-19.pdf

### **Determination:**

<b>√</b>	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

### **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

### **Funding Information**

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
M-17-DC-04-0229	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	HOME Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$1,500,000.00

**Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a)** \$25,698,040.00 **(5)]:** 

# Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project sites are not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, or in. Runway Projection Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ). The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	The project sites are not in FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Areas. 250 W. Grant Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2277 L dated 6/16/2011. 2620 N. Balboa Avenue, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-1688 L dated 6/16/2011. 2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2276 L dated 6/16/2011. Sunnyside Pointe, Tucson Pima County AZ 8506 - Zone X 4019C-2287 L dated 6/16/2011. The City of Tucson, #040076 is a participating member of the NFIP as of 8/5/19 per the FEMA Community Status Book Report. The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended,	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality management district is in non-

particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		attainment status for Carbon monoxide.
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		This project does not exceed de minimis
, ,		emissions levels or the screening level
		established by the state or air quality
		management district for the pollutant.
		ADEQ and Pima County Department of
		Environmental Quality sites have been
		reviewed and these agencies have no
		concerns about multi-family or small
		apartment complexes (under 100 units)
		development in existing residential
		areas changing or adversely impacting
		air quality control standards. This
		project has no more than 63 units on
		any single site. ADEQ has no active
		impact sites in Tucson. The project is in
		compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Zone Management Act,		does not participate in the Coastal Zone
sections 307(c) & (d)		Management Program. Therefore, this
		project is in compliance with the Coastal
		Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		II ESA. On-site or nearby toxic,
		hazardous, or radioactive substances
		that could affect the health and safety
		of project occupants or conflict with the
		intended use of the property were not
		found. The Phase I ESAs on 3 of the
		properties by Western Technologiews
		Inc. dated August 2019 revealed no
		evidence of RECs currently in
		connection with the Property. On the
		Grant Road site it was determined that
		the Property historically had an
		underground storage tank (UST) system
		and this historic UST system creates a
		Potential Recognized Environmental
		Condition. The Phase II ESA laboratory
		results indicated no VOCs or PAHs were
	1	detected above the laboratory reporting
		detected above the laboratory reporting
		limits. The laboratory reported
		limits. The laboratory reported
		Inc. dated August 2019 revealed no evidence of RECs currently in connection with the Property. On the Grant Road site it was determined that the Property historically had an underground storage tank (UST) system and this historic UST system creates a Potential Recognized Environmental Condition. The Phase II ESA laboratory results indicated no VOCs or PAHs were

		testing and remediation are required as part of the demolition permit for the Balboa site. Lead and asbestos tests are required, along with a remediation plan before the issuance of the demolition permit and work will be performed using environmentally safe practices. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will have no effect on any federally protected (listed or proposed) Threatened or Endangered Species, nor adversely modify designated critical habitats. The sites are fully or previously developed urban infill parcels in urban neighborhoods. The sites do not have water features or vegetation, and no visible sign of animal habitation. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services show no critical habitat areas in the Tucson Metropolitan area. The project is compliance with the Endangered Species Act. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	□ Yes ☑ No	After review of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessments by Western Technologies, Inc., dated August 2019 and site visits by City of Tucson Housing and Community Development staff 2/7/19, and review of known environmental registrations and maps, the determination is that the project sites are not in the vicinity of any hazardous operation involving explosive or flammable fuels or chemicals. There are no current or planned stationary above ground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project sites. The project sites are in fully developed urban residential/mixed use neighborhoods. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The sites do not meet the definition of
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		farmland per 7 CFR Part 658.2 a (1)
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		"Farmland" does not include land
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		already in or committed to urban
		development or water storage. The sites
		are in a fully developed urban
		· ·
		environment per USDA Soils Survey Map
		printed 5/11/18. This project does not
		include any activities that could
		potentially convert agricultural land to a
		non-agricultural use. The project is in
		compliance with the Farmland
		Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project sites are not in FEMA-
Executive Order 11988, particularly		designated Special Flood Hazard Areas.
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		250 W. Grant Road, Tucson Pima County
		AZ 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2277 L dated
		6/16/2011. 2620 N. Balboa Avenue,
		Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X
		4019C-1688 L dated 6/16/2011. 2940 N.
		Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ,
		85705 - Zone X 4019C-2276 L dated
		6/16/2011. Sunnyside Pointe, Tucson
		Pima County AZ 8506 - Zone X 4019C-
		2287 L dated 6/16/2011.The project is
		in compliance with Executive Order
		11988.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	
National Historic Preservation Act of	L 163 E INO	Based on the project description the
		project has No Potential to Cause Effects.The Area of Potential Effects
1966, particularly sections 106 and		
110; 36 CFR Part 800		(APE) for the Alborada Apartments
		project is defined as the individual area
		of construction on of each of the 4 sites.
		No effects are anticipated outside the
		immediate area of construction on each
		site. A memo with the determination
		that there are no historic properties
		affected per 36 CFR 800.4 from City of
		Tucson Historic Preservation Officer is
		dated 1/31/19, and in accordance with
		the programmatic agreement with the
		City of Tucson, SHPO and ACHP dated
		1/25/2002. The project is in compliance
		with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted for
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		all four sites. The noise level at 3 of the
	1	

and and but he of the Communities		A site a constitution of the constitution of t				
amended by the Quiet Communities		4 sites was in the acceptable range, with				
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		standard construction practices bringing				
В		the interior noise level to or below the				
		45 dB minimum requirement. One site,				
		250 E. Grant Road was at 66.5 DNL, in				
		the normally unacceptable range. With				
		the sound attenuation measures listed				
		in the mitigation section and confirmed				
		by the project architect, the interior				
		noise level will meet or exceed the 45				
		dB interior noise requirements. The				
		project is in compliance with HUD's				
		Noise regulation with mitigation.				
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is located on a sole source				
-	LI TES EL INO	aquifer. The region has an MOU or				
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as						
amended, particularly section		other working agreement with EPA for				
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		HUD projects impacting a sole source				
		aquifer, and the MOU or working				
		agreement excludes the project from				
		further review. The project will utilize				
		existing municipal water and sewer				
		systems. The project is in compliance				
		with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.				
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project does not involve new				
Executive Order 11990, particularly		construction within or adjacent to wet				
sections 2 and 5		lands, marshes, wet meadows, mud				
		flats or natural ponds. The wetland area				
		near Sunnyside Pointe is limited to a				
		drainage channel not impacted by the				
		project. The project is in compliance				
		with Executive order 11990.				
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a				
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. Tucson and Southern				
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		Arizona have no wild and scenic rivers.				
		The project is in compliance with the				
		Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.				
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS				
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE						
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	The proposed sites are suitable for the				
Executive Order 12898		proposed use and will NOT be adversely				
		impacted by adverse environmental				
		conditions. This environmental review				
		demonstrates that there are no issues				
		of non-compliance with the related laws				
		and authorities and there are no known				

	unresolved impacts. The project is in
	compliance with Executive Order 12898.

# Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

**Impact Codes**: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmen	Imp	Impact Evaluation	Mitiga
tal	act		tion
Assessment	Cod		
Factor	е		
	•	LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance	2	The 4 sites are consistent with local and regional planning	
with Plans /		efforts, and has received planning and zoning approval from	
Compatible		the City of Tucson. The project sites are zoned R-2, R-3 and C-	
Land Use and		2. The project supports the goals of Plan Tucson, the 2013	
Zoning / Scale		City of Tucson General & Sustainability Plan to provide	
and Urban		housing, human services, public facilities, and economic	
Design		development programs to address the housing needs of the	
		most vulnerable populations.	
		https://www.tucsonaz.gov/pdsd/plan. The project also	
		supports the goals of the Tucson-Pima HUD 5 Year	
		Consolidated Plan. The project converts currently vacant	
		and/or underutilized sites to attractive modern housing units	
		that conform within the surrounding neighborhoods in terms	
		of overall scale, density, size, and massing. The project will	
		meet the City's Housing Quality Standards (HQS) and is being	
		designed in accordance to the Arizona Department of	
		Housing's Mandatory Design Guidelines (Exhibit D of the	
		2019 Qualified Allocation Plan under the Low Income Housing	
		Tax Credit program). Letter dated 3/23/19 of site plan and	
		zoning approval by Scott Clark, interim Director PDSD.	
Soil Suitability	2	No evidence of erosion, drainage/storm water runoff on site	
/ Slope/		visit by HCD staff 2/19 and updated 8/19. All sites reviewed	
Erosion /		and approved for construction by Steve Shields City of Tucson	
Drainage and		PDSD with no further zoning or permit approvals required	
Storm Water		before construction. Geotechnical Reports by Patterson	
Runoff		Engineering and Ground Support Engineering found the soil	

Environmen	Imp	Impact Evaluation	Mitiga
tal	act		tion
Assessment	Cod		
Factor	е		
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
		composition suitable for the construction of the project.	
		Phase I ESAs by Western Technologies Inc.dated August 2019.	
Hazards and	2	No evidence at any of the 4 scattered sites of onsite hazards	
Nuisances		or nuisances including soil contamination; proximity to high	
including Site		pressure pipe lines or other volatile and explosive products;	
Safety and		high-voltage transmission lines; radio/TV transmission	
Site-		towers; excessive smoke, fumes, odors subsidence, ground	
Generated		water, inadequate surface drainage, flood, etc. Tucson	
Noise		Electric Power owned transformers on or near the sites were	
		all in good condition with no evidence of spills or leakage.	
		Three sites have been cleared and graded, ready for construction and have no vegetation or natural	
		features. Evidence of extensive vandalism, fire and temporary	
		habitation by homeless persons at the 4th site 2620 N.	
		Balboa.There are no visible poisonous plants or animals on	
		the sites. No known natural hazards exist. The sites have	
		access to intersections with traffic lights and cross walks for	
		residents to cross the street, street lighting, sidewalks, bike	
		lanes and other safety features. Other than a brief period of	
		construction there should be no increase in noise levels.	
		Phase I environmental site assessments by Western	
		Technologies, Inc. dated August 2019 and site visit by HCD	
		staff 2/7/19 and updated 8/6/19.	
Energy	2	Minor increase in energy consumption by 127 new units of	
Consumption/		housing. Buildings will be constructed to Arizona Department	
Energy		of Housing (ADOH) prescriptive path to sustainability, which	
Efficiency		is roughly equivalent to a LEED Gold standard, with highly-	
		reflective roofs, Energy Star high efficiency appliances and	
		low water usage fixtures. In addition, the air ducts & registers	
		will be sealed and low flow plumbing installed.	
Employeesest	1	SOCIOECONOMIC  The Developer articipates hising approximately 20	
Employment and Income	2	The Developer anticipates hiring approximately 20 subcontractors and creating possibly 50 temporary	
Patterns		construction jobs during the construction phase of the	
1 accerns		project. The project will follow Section 3 and Davis Bacon	
		requirements in all employment, construction and	
		subcontracting activities. 30% of all new hires shall be Section	
		3 residents and 10% of all subcontractors shall be Section 3	
		certified businesses. The sites are within walking distance or a	
		short commute on public transit to numerous retail,	

Environmen	Imp	Impact Evaluation	Mitiga
tal	act	•	tion
Assessment	Cod		
Factor	е		
	ı	LAND DEVELOPMENT	
		restaurant and service businesses with entry level and service	
		job possibilities. Job training and job search assistance with	
		placement services will be offered on site by La Frontera.	
Demographic	2	The 4 project sites are in areas of low and moderate income,	
Character		mixed use areas with over 55% minority populations, and	
Changes /		with the majority of housing units currently being used as	
Displacement		rentals. The small number of units at each site will limit any	
		possible demographic impacts. The sites are all vacant land or	
		uninhabited abandoned structures, so there will be no	
		displacement.	
		COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES	
Educational	2	The target population for the project is low income	
and Cultural		individuals and families. Most of the clients will be single	
Facilities		individuals without children, so the impact on educational	
(Access and		facilities will be minimal. The sites are within 2 miles of	
Capacity)		Tucson Unified School District K-12 schools, magnet high	
		schools, and private charter schools and preschools. TUSD	
		has an open enrollment policy, so any school in the district	
		with spaces available is open for enrollment.	
		http://www.tusd1.org/Information/Enrollment/OpenEnrollm	
		ent/tabid/80260/Default.aspx . Also within 5 miles are a	
		number of colleges and adult education resources including	
		Pima Community College, University of Arizona and other	
		education programs. Educational and cultural enrichment	
		opportunities are available at nearby community centers,	
		libraries, recreation centers, theaters, museums, parks and a	
		number of veteran organizations.	
Commercial	2	The project sites are within 1 mile of major grocery stores,	
Facilities		pharmacies, retail and service businesses, discount stores,	
(Access and		medical providers, thrift stores, and within 5 miles of 2 major	
Proximity)		regional retail malls. The project sites are directly on major	
		bus routes connecting to transit hubs.	
Health Care /	2	The project sites are all within 2 miles of major medical	
Social		centers and emergency rooms, and within 5 miles of the	
Services		Southern Arizona VA Health Care campus. Numerous	
(Access and		emergency facilities, clinics, and physician services are within	
Capacity)		an easy commute on public transit. Support services nearby	
' '		include CODAC Behavioral Health, COPE Community Services,	
		VA Tucson Veterans Center and La Frontera facilities. Support	
		services and referrals will also be provided by the onsite	
	l	1	

Environmen tal Assessment	Imp act Cod	Impact Evaluation	Mitiga tion
Factor	e		
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
		Resident Services Coordinator provided by La Frontera. The additional residents will not have an adverse impact on the available services, since most of the prospective clients are already in the community and receiving services and the units are distributed at 4 scattered sites in the community.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The City of Tucson Environmental Services Department will provide onsite waste disposal and recycling services. The City of Tucson provides extensive recycling options, including construction debris handling and recycling, landfills, green waste recycling and household hazardous waste disposal services. Will Serve letter from Carlos De La Torre, Director, City of Tucson Environmental Services Department dated 3/7/19.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Pima County Wastewater will provide wastewater and sewer service to project. Will Serve letter from Kurt Stemm, Pima County Wastewater Management dated 2/4/19.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Tucson Water will provide water service to project. Will Serve letter from Michael Mourreale, Tucson Water dated 2/13/19.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	Letter from interim Fire Chief Joe Gulotta at Tucson Fire Department dated 3/11/19 states that Tucson Fire Department will provide protection services in the form of fire and emergency medical response for the project. Average response time for TFD is 4 minutes. Chief of Police Chris Magnus at Tucson Police Department (TPD) stated in a letter dated 3/8/19 that TPD will provide protection services in the form of emergency response for the project. Response time varies depending on the type of call, but the average time for emergency response is 5 minutes or less. The project sites are within 2 miles of major medical centers with emergency medical care including Banner UMC Medical Center, TMC Medical Center, Carondolet St. Mary's Medical Center and numerous hospitals and urgent care facilities.	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson AZ 85705. The site is within 2 blocks of Laguna Park, and within 2 miles of major recreation centers with sports fields, playgrounds, basketball courts, swimming pools and rec centers. The site is within 3 miles of the Armory Park Senior Center. 2620 N. Balboa Avenue,	

Environmen	Imp	Impact Evaluation	Mitiga
tal	act		
Assessment	Cod		
Factor	е		
	I	LAND DEVELOPMENT	
		Tucson AZ, 85705 The site is within 2 blocks of the Martin	
		Birdman Center, and within 2 miles of major recreation	
		centers with sports fields, playgrounds, basketball courts,	
		swimming pools and rec centers. The site is within 3 miles of	
		the Armory Park Senior Center. 250 N. Grant Road, Tucson	
		AZ, 85705. The site is across the street from Mansfield Park	
		and the Donna Liggins Recreation Center-a major recreation	
		center with sports fields, playgrounds, basketball courts,	
		swimming pool, within 2 blocks of the Balboa Heights Park,	
Transportatio	2	Suntran bus stops are in close proximity to the project sites	
n and		with Routes 9, 10, 16, 19 or 25 providing residents access to	
Accessibility		major transit hubs and the Greyhound Bus Line. The sites are	
(Access and		fully accessible by car and have adequate street access.	
Capacity)		Ample on street parking exists in the immediate area and off	
		street parking spaces are included in the project plans. Long	
		term bicycle parking is planned at all 4 sites. The residents	
		have access to the City of Tucson Sun Shuttle and other	
		medical transportation providers. Letter from Samuel Credio,	
		Depurty Director TDOT dated 3/7/19.	
	1	NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique	2	The sites have no unique natural features or water. The sites	
Natural		are in fully developed urban neighborhoods, and surrounded	
Features		by urban residential housing and small commercial	
/Water		businesses. All of the sites have been cleared and graded or	
Resources		previously developed. Phase I environmental site	
		assessments by Western Technologies Inc. dated August 2019	
		and site visits by HCD staff 2/7/19, updated 8/6/19.	
Vegetation /			
Wildlife			
(Introduction,			
Modification,			
Removal,			
Disruption,			
etc.)			
Other Factors			

# **Supporting documentation**

SSP Geotech 9.20.15.pdf
Alborada Oracle Geotech 8.21.19.pdf
Alborada Apartements Grant Geotech 8.19.19.pdf

Aborada-Balboa Geotech 8.19.19.pdf
Sunnyside Aerial 2-12-19(2).pdf
2940 N Oracle Aerial 2-12-19(2).pdf
2620 N Balboa Ave aerial 2-12-19(2).pdf
250 W Grant aerial 2-12-19(2).pdf
CensDemoMapPrn Sunnyside 8-6-19.pdf
CensDemoMapPrn Oracle 8-6-19.pdf
CensDemoMapPrn Balboa 8-6-19.pdf
CensDemoMapPrn 250 W Grant 8-6-19.pdf
Alborado support letters 8-6-19.pdf
Form 8 Zoning Alborada Apartments.pdf
Alborada Apartments HOME application.pdf

### **Additional Studies Performed:**

### Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Glenn Fournie 2/7/2019 12:00:00 AM

Alborado Sunnyside Pointe Site Visist 8-1-19.pdf 2940 N Oracle site visit 2-17-19.pdf 2620 N Balboa site visit 2-7-19.pdf 250 E Grant site visit 2-8-19.pdf

### List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Arizona Department of Housing (ADOH)-Jeanne Redondo, Lori Hoffner HUD-Stanley Toal City of Tucson Office of the Mayor-Mayor Jonathan Rothschild City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department-Liz Morales, Sally Stang, Joyce Alcantar City of Tucson Planning and Development Services-Scott Clark, Interrim Director, Steve Shields City of Tucson Department of Transportation-Samuel Credo, Deputy Director City of Tucson Office of Integrated Planning-Rebecca Roupp Tucson Fire Department-Joseph Gulotta, Interim Fire Chief Tucson Police Department- Chief Chris Magnus City of Tucson Department of Environmental Quality -Carlos De La Torre, Director Tucson Water- Michael Mourreal, PE Pima County Wastewater Management- Kurt Stemm, CEA SR City of Tucson Historic Preservation Office - Allison Deihl City of Tucson and Pima County Consortium HUD Consolidated Plan:

https://www.tucsonaz.gov/files/hcd/City\_of\_Tucson\_and\_Pima\_County\_FFY2015-2019\_5-year\_HUDConsolidated\_Plan.pdf City of Tucson General & Sustainability Plan https://www.tucsonaz.gov/pdsd/plan-tucson

#### **List of Permits Obtained:**

The reviews that go into each project include but are not limited to: Planning, Zoning, Engineering, Landscape, Fire, ADA, Plumbing, Solid Waste, Right of Way Improvements, Structural, Mechanical and Plumbing, Electrical, Fire, Zoning Engineering, Wastewater, Water, Transportation. The City of Tucson Planning and Development Services Department reviews, approves and issues all permits for: zoning, site plans, grading, storm water, demolition, parking, landscape and construction trades; issues all permits; performs inspections and issues certificate of occupancy. The Department enforces building and zoning codes.

Tucson, AZ

### Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Publication of the FONSI/RROF September 4, 2019 in the Arizona Daily Star, and interested parties contacted by email. The Environmental Review Record (ERR) that documents the environmental determinations for this project is available at http://www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/whats-new and on file at City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department, 310 S. Commerce Park Loop, Tucson, Pima County Arizona 85745 and may be examined weekdays 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The ERR will also be available and distributed on the HUD HEROS system.

### Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed new construction and major rehabilitation project is located at 4 separate project sites, 3 are near the rapidly growing Tucson downtown gateway area and the Oracle Area Improvement Project. The project will be a minor contributor to the projected increase in population, traffic and energy usage already anticipated for the area. The project sites are infill development in existing urban neighborhoods that will use existing roadways, sewer systems, municipal water and other utilities already in place. No significant cumulative impacts on the environment would be anticipated from the Proposed Action in conjunction with other activities.

### Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No alternatives other than the no action alternative were considered.

### No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

127 units of desperately needed new affordable housing units would not be built. Goals of the City of Tucson Consolidated Plan would not be fulfilled. La Frontera will miss the ADOH Low Income Housing Tax Credit funding opportunity that makes the project affordable at this time. Thirty one (31) Project-Based Vouchers from the City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department Public Housing Authority would not be utilized. The sites will remain vacant, neglected and under-developed.

### **Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**

The proposed new construction of 127 units of low income housing units on 4 scattered sites will not adversely affect environment or the neighborhoods. The activity is compatible with the existing mixed uses in the areas. There will be little to no impact on existing resources or services in the area. The land is available and the site plans are approved. The Thirty one (31) Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers have been set aside. The project is a positive step in meeting City of Tucson goals for encouraging appropriate growth and development in the area.

# Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Complete
Authority, or		<b>Completed Measures</b>	
Factor			
Noise Abatement and Control	Noise wall at 250 E. Grant Road running east to west along Grant Road.  1. 2X6 exterior walls with R-21 Batt insulations in cavities and 1 1/2" foam insulation with Stucco Finish on exterior walls combined these are R-27 rated.  - 2. Maximum 48"X72" vinyl in living room and 48"X48" vinyl - sliding dual pane windows for bedrooms, Low-E glass, fully sealed and weatherized.  - 3. Solid cord wood access/egress doors with rubberized threshold and full weather stripping. Doors are 36" X 80".  - 4. All units are central heating and cooled.	N/A	
Permits, reviews and approvals	The reviews that go into each project include but are not limited to: Planning, Zoning, Engineering, Landscape, Fire, ADA, Plumbing, Solid Waste, Right of Way Improvements, Structural, Mechanical and Plumbing, Electrical, Fire, Zoning Engineering, Wastewater, Water, Transportation. The City of Tucson Planning and Development Services	N/A	

Department reviews, approves and issues	
all permits for: zoning, site plans, grading,	
storm water, demolition, parking,	
landscape and construction trades; issues	
all permits; performs inspections and	
issues certificate of occupancy. The	
Department enforces building and zoning	
codes.	

# **Mitigation Plan**

The City of Tucson Planning and Development Services Department reviews, approves and issues all permits for: zoning, site plans, grading, storm water, demolition, parking, landscape and construction trades; issues all permits; performs inspections and issues certificate of occupancy. The Department enforces building and zoning codes.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

### **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

# **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

The project sites are not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, or in. Runway Projection Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ). The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

### **Supporting documentation**

Airport map 2-12-19.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

# **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

APPENDIX B coastal barriers 4-30-18.docx

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Flood Insurance**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Sunnyside flood map 2-12-19.pdf 2620 N Balboa flood map 2-12-19.pdf 2490 N Oracle flood map 2-12-19.pdf 250 W Grant flood map 2-12-19.pdf

The

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The project sites are not in FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Areas. 250 W. Grant

Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2277 L dated 6/16/2011. 2620 N. Balboa Avenue, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-1688 L dated 6/16/2011. 2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2276 L dated 6/16/2011. Sunnyside Pointe, Tucson Pima County AZ 8506 - Zone X 4019C-2287 L dated 6/16/2011. The City of Tucson, #040076 is a participating member of the NFIP as of 8/5/19 per the FEMA Community Status Book Report. The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

### Supporting documentation

FEMA Community Book 8-5-19.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.)	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	as amended particularly Section	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and	
sets national standards on ambient	(d))	
pollutants. In addition, the Clean		
Air Act is administered by States,		
which must develop State		
Implementation Plans (SIPs) to		
regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform to		
the appropriate SIP.		

1.	oes your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the
develop	nent of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓ Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

✓ Carbon Monoxide

Lead

Nitrogen dioxide

Sulfur dioxide

Ozone

Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns

Particulate Matter, <10 microns

3. What are the *de minimis* emissions levels (40 CFR 93.153) or screening levels for the non-attainment or maintenance level pollutants indicated above

Carbon monoxide 35.00 ppm (parts per million)

### Provide your source used to determine levels here:

Richard Grimaldi, Deputy Director of Pima County Department of Environmental Quality. Memo of file.

- 4. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project. Will your project exceed any of the de minimis or threshold emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?
- ✓ No, the project will not exceed de minimis or threshold emissions levels or screening levels.

#### Enter the estimate emission levels:

Carbon monoxide 9.00 ppm (parts per million)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes, the project exceeds *de minimis* emissions levels or screening levels.

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The project's county or air quality management district is in non-attainment status for Carbon monoxide. This project does not exceed de minimis emissions levels or the screening level established by the state or air quality management district for the pollutant. ADEQ and Pima County Department of Environmental Quality sites have been reviewed and these agencies have no concerns about multi-family or small apartment complexes (under 100 units) development in existing residential areas changing or

adversely impacting air quality control standards. This project has no more than 63 units on any single site. ADEQ has no active impact sites in Tucson. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

APPENDIX D Air quality 4-30-18.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c) and	
granted only when such	(d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	
activities are consistent with		
federally approved State Coastal		
Zone Management Act Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

APPENDIX B coastal zones 4-30-18.docx

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety		
of the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
- ✓ American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ✓ ASTM Phase II ESA
   Remediation or clean-up plan
   ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
   None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
- ✓ No

### **Explain:**

The Phase II laboratory results indicated no VOCs or PAHs were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. The laboratory reported concentrations of the eight RCRA metals were considered normal background concentrations. Western Technologies recommends no further assessment required.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase II ESA. Onsite or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The Phase I ESAs on 3 of the properties by Western Technologiews Inc. dated August 2019 revealed no evidence of RECs currently in connection with the Property. On the Grant Road site it was determined that the Property historically had an underground storage tank (UST) system and this historic UST system creates a Potential Recognized Environmental Condition. The Phase II ESA laboratory results indicated no VOCs or PAHs were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. The laboratory reported concentrations of the eight RCRA metals were considered normal background concentrations. Lead and asbestos testing and remediation are required as part of the demolition permit for the Balboa site. Lead and asbestos tests are required, along with a remediation plan before the issuance of the demolition permit and work will be performed using environmentally safe practices. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

### **Supporting documentation**

Phase I Balboa 9-3-19.pdf

Phase I Sunnyside 9-3-19.pdf

Phase I Oracle Road 9-3-19.pdf

250 E Grant La Frontera Phase II ESA.pdf

Phase I ESA 250 East Grant Road La Frontera.pdf

### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

# **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973 (16	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	particularly section 7	
federally listed plants and animals or result in the	(16 USC 1536).	
adverse modification or destruction of designated		
critical habitat. Where their actions may affect		
resources protected by the ESA, agencies must		
consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or		
the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and		
"NMFS" or "the Services").		

# 1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

✓ No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Explain your determination:

The sites are fully or previously developed urban infill parcels in urban neighborhoods. Three site are completely graded and ready for construction. The Balboa site has 3 abandoned structures that will be demolished and heavy weed growth, but little permanent vegetation. The sites do not have water features and no visible sign of animal habitation. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services show no critical habitat areas in the Tucson Metropolitan area.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The project will have no effect on any federally protected (listed or proposed)
Threatened or Endangered Species, nor adversely modify designated critical habitats.
The sites are fully or previously developed urban infill parcels in urban neighborhoods.
The sites do not have water features or vegetation, and no visible sign of animal habitation. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services show no critical habitat areas in the Tucson Metropolitan area. The project is compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

Sunnyside Aerial 2-12-19.pdf 2940 N Oracle Aerial 2-12-19.pdf 2620 N Balboa Ave aerial 2-12-19.pdf 250 W Grant aerial 2-12-19.pdf IPaC list 5-14-18.pdf Critical Habitat Map 5-2-18.pdf

### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

**Explosive and Flammable Hazards** 

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores,
handle	s or processes flammable or combustible chemicals), i.e. bulk fuel storage facilities,
refiner	ies, etc.?

✓ No Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current *or planned* stationary aboveground storage containers:
  - Of more than 100 gallon capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
  - Of any capacity, containing hazardous liquids or gases that are not common liquid industrial fuels?

N	o
	N

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

### **Compliance Determination**

After review of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessments by Western Technologies, Inc., dated August 2019 and site visits by City of Tucson Housing and Community Development staff 2/7/19, and review of known environmental registrations and maps, the determination is that the project sites are not in the vicinity of any hazardous operation involving explosive or flammable fuels or chemicals. There are no current or planned stationary above ground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project sites. The project sites are in fully developed urban residential/mixed use neighborhoods. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

### **Supporting documentation**

<u>Sunnyside Aerial 2-12-19(1).pdf</u> <u>2940 N Oracle Aerial 2-12-19(1).pdf</u> <u>2620 N Balboa Ave aerial 2-12-19(1).pdf</u> <u>250 W Grant aerial 2-12-19(1).pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et	
federal activities that would	seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The sites do not meet the definition of farmland per 7 CFR Part 658. The sites are in a fully developed urban environment per USDA Soils Survey Map printed 7/20/17. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

# **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

The sites do not meet the definition of farmland per 7 CFR Part 658.2 a (1) "Farmland" does not include land already in or committed to urban development or water storage. The sites are in a fully developed urban environment per USDA Soils Survey Map printed 5/11/18. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

USDA Urban Area Soils Map 5-11-18.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Floodplain Management**

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and indirect		
support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

# 1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Sunnyside flood map 2-12-19.pdf 2620 N Balboa flood map 2-12-19.pdf 2490 N Oracle flood map 2-12-19.pdf 250 W Grant flood map 2-12-19.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

### Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

The project sites are not in FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Areas. 250 W. Grant Road, Tucson Pima County AZ 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2277 L dated 6/16/2011. 2620 N. Balboa Avenue, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-1688 L dated 6/16/2011. 2940 N. Oracle Road, Tucson Pima County AZ, 85705 - Zone X 4019C-2276 L dated 6/16/2011. Sunnyside Pointe, Tucson Pima County AZ 8506 - Zone X 4019C-2287 L dated 6/16/2011. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

### Threshold

### Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

✓ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the Alborada Apartments project is defined as the individual area of construction on of each of the 4 sites. No effects are anticipated outside the immediate area of construction on each site. A memo with the

determination that there are no historic properties affected per 36 CFR 800.4 from City of Tucson Historic Preservation Officer is dated 1/31/19, and in accordance with the programmatic agreement with the City of Tucson, SHPO and ACHP dated 1/25/2002. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

### **Supporting documentation**

Alborado Point HPO Letter Jan 31 2019.pdf Miracle Pointe Apts\_SHPO concurrence.pdf HPO Programmatic agreement.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Noise Abatement and Control**

General req	uirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regula	itions protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential propert	ies from		Subpart B
excessive noise ex	oosure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitiga	tion as	Federal Management Circular 75-	
appropriate.		2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
		Federal Airfields"	

- 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:
- ✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- ✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.
- 5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the

Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

✓ Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

### Is your project in a largely undeveloped area?

✓ No

Indicate noise level here: 66.5

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Yes

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 66.5

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

6. HUD strongly encourages mitigation be used to eliminate adverse noise impacts. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or

effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review.

✓ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

Noise wall at 250 E. Grant Road running east to west along Grant Road. 1. 2X6 exterior walls with R-21 Batt insulations in cavities and 1 1/2

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload drawings, specifications, and other materials as needed to describe the project's noise mitigation measures below.

No mitigation is necessary.

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

A Noise Assessment was conducted for all four sites. The noise level at 3 of the 4 sites was in the acceptable range, with standard construction practices bringing the interior noise level to or below the 45 dB minimum requirement. One site, 250 E. Grant Road was at 66.5 DNL, in the normally unacceptable range. With the sound attenuation measures listed in the mitigation section and confirmed by the project architect, the interior noise level will meet or exceed the 45 dB interior noise requirements. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation with mitigation.

### Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Sole Source Aquifers**

Joic Jourse / Iquirers		
General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water Act	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201,	
which are the sole or principal	300f et seq., and 21	
drinking water source for an area and	U.S.C. 349)	
which, if contaminated, would create		
a significant hazard to public health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
buildin	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

# 2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

No

✓ Yes

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

✓ Yes

Document and upload MOU or Agreement below.

No

4. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen

✓ Yes

Document and upload where your project fits within the MOU or working agreement below. Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

The project is located on a sole source aquifer. The region has an MOU or other working agreement with EPA for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer, and the MOU or working agreement excludes the project from further review. The project will utilize existing municipal water and sewer systems. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

### **Supporting documentation**

APPENDIX M sole source aquifer 5-2-18.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

### **Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

The project does not involve new construction within or adjacent to wet lands, marshes, wet meadows, mud flats or natural ponds. The wetland area near Sunnyside Pointe is limited to a drainage channel not impacted by the project. The project is in compliance with Executive order 11990.

# **Supporting documentation**

Sunnyside Pointe wetlands 2-12-19.pdf
Oracle Balboa Grant site Wetlands map 2-12-19.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

### Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers designated	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
as components or potential		
components of the National Wild		
and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS)		
from the effects of construction or		
development.		

# 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. Tucson and Southern Arizona have no wild and scenic rivers. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

# APPENDIX O wild rivers 5-2-18.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

### **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates	Executive Order 12898	
adverse environmental impacts		
upon a low-income or minority		
community. If it does, engage		
the community in meaningful		
participation about mitigating		
the impacts or move the		
project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review
portion	of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

### **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

The proposed sites are suitable for the proposed use and will NOT be adversely impacted by adverse environmental conditions. This environmental review demonstrates that there are no issues of non-compliance with the related laws and authorities and there are no known unresolved impacts. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes