
# **1052 North Euclid Avenue** APN: 115-04-506A & 115-04-507A

LFBR, L.L.C.

# Reasonable Economic Use Statement

March 2022



The following is intended to provide a summary of the information requested by Zoning Ordinance Section 5.8.10.E.2 regarding documentation of a lack of reasonable use of the property and the need for the relocation of the structure to permit redevelopment of the subject property for economically viable use.

The larger project application is for the relocation of five (5) of the six (6) contributing structures (however, this structure is not salvageable). The following provides the summary responses to the standard questions regarding reasonable economic use requested by the Zoning Ordinance as it relates specifically to 818 E. Speedway Boulevard, the one structure that is not movable and is well past its economically useful life.

# Section 5.8.10.E.2.a asks the following:

1. The assessed value of the land and improvements thereon according to the two most recent assessments.

115-04-506A:

```
$118,119.00 (2021 FCV)
$135,831.00 (2022 FCV)
```

115-04-507A: (Note – Remnant Parcel from Roadway Widening)

```
$2,001.00 (2021 FCV)
$2,001.00 (2022 FCV)
```

115-04-506A & 115-04-507A:

```
$120,120.00 (2021 FCV)
$137,832.00 (2022 FCV)
```

2. Real estate taxes for the previous two years.

115-04-506A:

```
$1,522.87 (2019)
$1,543.15 (2020)
```

115-04-507A: (Note – Remnant Parcel from Roadway Widening)

```
$46.00 (2019)
$44.38 (2020)
```

#### 115-04-506A & 115-04-507A:

\$1,568.87 (2019) \$1,587.53 (2020)

3. The date of purchase of the property or other means of acquisition of title, such as by gift or inheritance.

```
03/02/1994 – Deed Recorded at PCR#: 9740/818 (115-04-506A)
11/20/1987 – Deed Recorded at PCR#: 8166/1558 (115-04-507A)
```

*Note – Under contract to purchase by Applicant Capstone* 

4. Annual debt service, if any, for the previous two years.

The property is free and clear of any debt.

5. All appraisals obtained within the previous two years by the owner or applicant in connection with the purchase, financing, ensuring, or ownership of property.

No formal appraisals have been commissioned by owner. A real estate broker opinion of value is included in Exhibit 1.

6. Any listing of the property for sale or rent, price asked, and offers received, if any.

See Exhibit 2.

7. Any consideration by the owner as to profitable adaptive uses for the property.

As concluded herein the present use of the property as a residential rental property is not the highest and best use of the property. In fact, the economic performance of the property and reliable market demonstration indicate that the existing improvements on the property not only do not contribute to the value of the property, they most likely detract from the value of the property. Therefore, it has been necessary for the owners to consider alternative uses for the property.

The nationally and industry recognized Appraisal Institute defines "highest and best use" as being the: "reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible and that results in the highest value."

According to the West University Neighborhood Plan the property is located in the "West University Transition Zone". As such legal uses of the property are stated to be: "Administrative and professional offices, alcoholic beverage services (including micro-breweries), civic assembly, cultural uses, day care/child care, educational uses, educational use-post secondary, entertainment uses (including theaters), financial services, food and beverage sales, general merchandise sales, instructional school, lodging, medical services (out-patient), mixed use (a

combination of residential and other uses in this list), parking, personal services, residential (attached), residential (multi-family) and travelers' accommodation".

Of these legal uses few, if any, are physically possible due to the property site containing only 6,498sf. Therefore, an essential component of any highest best use of the property will involve the property being assembled with adjacent parcels in order to create an assembled parcel large enough to accommodate some or all of the legally permissible land uses. Consequently, the property has been and is now being presented to the market as a part of a 7 parcel assemblage (the property and 6 other similarly undersized parcels) with the assemblage containing approximately 45,289sf. As such all, or most, of the legal uses can now be accommodated and therefore considered.

While all of the legal and physically possible alternative land uses for the property are appropriately supported by surrounding land use patterns and are considered to be financially feasible to varying degrees, the stand out alternative land use of the property that results in the highest value is putting the property, as part of the above mentioned assemblage, to use as a student housing site that will address the growing long term demand for student housing that is the result of increasing enrollment at the University of Arizona and the retirement and/or obsolescence of older student housing inventories.

8. The current fair market value of the property as determined by at least two independent appraisals.

Please see Item 5 above and Exhibit 1.

9. An estimate of rehabilitation cost to restore the structure to active use.

In its current location, the structure is not a long-term viable single-family residence. The condition of the home is generalized as follows:

								Students
						Leased? -		or
		Year	Square	# of	# of	if so, term	# of	Market
Address	APN	Built	Footage	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	in months	Residents	Tenant?
1052 N Euclid Ave	11504506A	1917	884	1	1	Vacant	N/A	Market

Systems Conditions					
Electrical	Mechanical	Plumbing	Roofing		
Original - Very Poor	Evap & Wall Heat - Very Poor	Original - Fair	Unknown Age - Very Poor		
Aesthetic Conditions					

Aesthetic Conditions					
Kitchen	Bathroom(s)	Bedroom(s)	Flooring	Paint	Lighting
Unfinished - Very Poor	Unfinished	Unfinished	None	Poor condition	None

ther Comments/Info

Structure is uninhabitable and connected to any utilities.

The investment required to return the structure to a "marketable" condition for single-family use (i.e. modern appliances, finishes and fixtures expected for market rate tenants or buyers) as well as necessary upgrades of the infrastructure of the building is beyond the value of the building on a retail return and/or sales return basis (basically, these costs are more than the value of the structure, making them economically unfeasible).

While a building specific assessment is beyond the scope the this statement, a generalized estimate cost for rehabilitation the structure based on discussions with professionals that have worked on similar projects (a single-family historic home rehab to market condition) is estimated at \$175 to \$250 a square foot. That would exclude finishes (such as paint, fixtures, and flooring) and is only to modernize the building for structural, electrical, plumbing and mechanical systems. That estimate alone for this building is \$154,700 to \$221,000.

In addition to the internal upgrades required above, the exterior of the structure needs significant investment to bring it up to current market expectations.

This adobe structure has significant damage to its structural integrity, with adobe walls having been cut for electrical service installation, evidence of termite infestation within the structure (including the adobe itself, which contains straw) as well serious structural integrity concerns. Because this structure is immovable and identified for demolition, a full estimate of the costs to correct these deficiencies was not made. However, given the conditions of the structure of the building, it is unlikely that a feasible "fix" to these serious defects is possible.

In summary, in addition to the necessary interior investment of \$154k to \$221k, as well as the undefined exterior investment to return this structure to a marketable condition in today's rental/sales market (other structures are budgeted at \$160,000), the structure has serious structural flaws and likely future support failures (of unknown repair costs) that result in an inability of the owner to restore this structure to active use.

10. Not applicable (waiver provisions).

In addition, because this is an income producing rental building, the additional questions below also apply, <u>Section 5.8.10.E.2.b</u>:

1. Annual gross income from the property for the previous two years.

See below.

2. Itemized operating and maintenance expenses for the previous two years, including proof that adequate and competent management procedures were followed.

	2019	2020
Total Gross Income	0.00	0.00
Operating Expenses		
Real Estate Taxes	1,568.87	1,587.53
Utilities	0.00	0.00
Insurance	687.00	711.53
Maintenance & Repairs	500.00	0.00
Landscaping	250.00	150.00
Miscellaneous	35.00	35.00
Management	0.00	0.00
Total Operating Expenses	3,040.87	2,484.06
Net Operating Income	-3,040.87	-2,484.06

3. Annual cash flow, if any, for the previous two years.

See above.

4. Proof that efforts have been made by the owner to obtain a reasonable return on investment based on previous service.

As presently improved, when vacant, the property owner has marketed the property for residential rental use. Considering the close proximity to the University of Arizona the property has traditionally been occupied by students or lower paid University of Arizona faculty and/or staff. In the context of the property as a residential rental property, the one upside to the Speedway Boulevard frontage is that posting a "For Rent" sign has traditionally been effective in attracting prospective tenants. More recently the owner has also relied on internet-based channels such as Craig's List, RentLinx, Zillow, HotPads, etc. Going marketing methods may prove to be less effective because of the loss of any remaining privacy/aesthetic character of the property related to the development of the immediately adjacent high density student housing projects and an increasing supply of more suitably sited alternatives in the moderate to low-cost student housing market sector.

In summary, the historically contributing structure has reached the end of its useful life, the area around it has changed in character dramatically and with Euclid Avenue being less than 20 feet from the front porch. Relocation of this structure as proposed will place it in an interior neighborhood location within the West University Neighborhood Historic District (WUNHD) which will increase the economic feasibility of rehabilitation/restoration and thereby perpetuating the continued residential use of the improvements and the contributing nature of the home to the WUNHD and the greater Tucson community.

### Exhibit 1 – Property Value

As part of the presenting the property to the market as is described in Exhibit 2 which follows, the value of the property was evaluated by NAI Horizon, a national commercial brokerage company with an office in Tucson, Arizona. Based on this evaluation the following assessments and conclusions were communicated:

<u>Property Description</u>: According to public record the property parcel contains 6,498sf and is improved with a single-family residence that was originally constructed in 1917 and is reported to contain 884sf of living area. The overall condition of the property is considered very poor and uninhabitable.

<u>Market Value – As Is</u>: Based on the property as it presently exists, relevant recent sales transactions involving comparable properties, after adjustments for differences in age, condition, living area size, parcel area and general and specific location when compared to the property, demonstrate a range of value for the property that is approximately equal to \$100.00 to \$120.00 per square foot of living area. Therefore, the estimated market value of the property – as is, based on the sales comparison, ranges from \$90,000 to \$105,000.00, rounded.

<u>Market Value – As If Vacant Commercial Land</u>: Based on the underlying assumption that the property will be assembled with adjacent parcels in order to create a market viable vacant parcel large enough to be considered suitable for commercial uses, relevant recent sales transactions involving comparable properties, after adjustments for parcel area, general and specific location and influences by surrounding land uses when compared to the property, demonstrate a range of value for the property that is approximately equal to \$30.00 to \$35.00 per square foot of site area. Therefore, the estimated market value of the property – as if vacant commercial land, based on the sales comparison approach, ranges from \$195,000.00 to \$230,000.00, rounded.

<u>Market Value – Put To Highest And Best Use</u>: Based on the underlying assumption that the property will be assembled with adjacent parcels in order to create a market viable vacant parcel put to its highest and best use as a student housing site, relevant recent sales transactions involving comparable properties, after adjustments for parcel area, general and specific location and influences by surrounding land uses when compared to the property, demonstrate a range of value for the property that is approximately equal to \$130.00 to \$175.00 per square foot of site area. Therefore the estimated market value of the property – as if vacant commercial land, based on sales comparison approach, ranges from \$845,000.00 to \$1,140,000.00, rounded.

# Exhibit 2 – Evidence of Listings/Marketing

Based on the value analysis provided in Exhibit 1 the property was listed with NAI Horizon, along with 6 other adjacent parcels, in August of 2016 and presented to the market since then as a potential student housing site. As a result of this market exposure the property has been in escrow twice. The first fully executed purchase and sale agreement was entered into in May of 2017 with a well-qualified national student housing developer with the escrow being opened with a total sales price of \$7,250,000. Based on the property's contribution to the total site area of the larger assembled parcel, approximately \$1,040.219.48 (\$160.08/sf of site area) of the total sales price was allocated to the property. The escrow was canceled and the transaction did not close.

The second fully executed purchase and sale agreement is still in escrow and is with another well-qualified national student housing developer. The escrow was opened in July of 2018 with a minimum total sales price of \$6,375,000. Based on the property's contribution to the total site area of the larger assembled parcel, approximately \$914,675.75 (140.76/sf of total site area) of the total sales price is allocated to the property. The escrow related to this transaction is expected to close in late 2022.